arXiv:math-ph/0412004v1 1 Dec 2004

Günther's formalism (k-symplectic formalism) in classical field theory: Skinner–Rusk approach and the evolution operator

Angel M. Rey
Departamento de Xeometría e Topoloxía, Facultade de Matemáticas,
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15706-Santiago de Compostela, Spain
e-mail: angelmrey@edu.xunta.es

Narciso Román-Roy
Departamento deMatemática Aplicada IV, Edificio C-3, Campus Norte UPC,
C/ Jordi Girona 1, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain
e-mail: matnrr@mat.upc.es

Modesto Salgado
Departamento de Xeometría e Topoloxía, Facultade de Matemáticas,
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15706-Santiago de Compostela, Spain
e-mail: modesto@zmat.usc.es

Abstract

The first aim of this paper is to extend the Skinner-Rusk formalism on classical mechanics for first-order field theories. The second is to generalize the definition and properties of the evolution K-operator on classical mechanics for first-order field theories using in both cases Günther's formalism (k-symplectic formalism).

M.S. Classification (2000): 70S05, 53D05, 53Z05

1 Introduction

The *Skinner-Rusk formalism* [41] was developed in order to give a geometrical unified formalism for describing mechanical systems. It incorporates all the characteristics of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions of these systems (including dynamical equations and solutions, constraints, Legendre map, evolution operators, equivalence, etc.).

This formalism has been generalized to time-dependent mechanical systems [7], and also to the multisymplectic description of first-order field theories [8] , [25].

The first aim of this paper is to extend this unified framework to Günther's description of first-order classical field theories [21], and show how this description comprises the main features of the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms, both for the regular and singular cases.

Let us point out that Günther's formalism should be also called k-symplectic formalism because the base of this formalism are the standard polysymplectic manifolds, introduced by Günther in [21], which coincide with the k-symplectic manifolds introduced by Awane in [1, 2, 3]. Günther's paper gives a geometric Hamiltonian formalism for field theories. The crucial device is the introduction of a vector-valued generalization of a symplectic form, called a polysymplectic form. One of the advantages of this formalism is that only the tangent and cotangent bundle of a manifold are required to develop it. In [31] Günther's formalism was revised and clarified. It was shown that the polysymplectic sructures used by Günther to develop his formalism could be replaced by the k-symplectic structures defined by Awane [1, 2, 3]. So this formalism could be called k-symplectic formalism.

The k-symplectic formalism is the generalization to field theories of the standard symplectic formalism in mechanics, which is the geometric framework for describing autonomous dynamical systems. In this sense, the k-symplectic formalism is used to give a geometric description of certain kind of field theories: in a local description, those whose Lagrangian does not depend on the coordinates in the basis (in many of them, the space-time coordinates); that is, it is only valid for Lagrangian $L(q^i, v^i_A)$ and Hamiltonian $H(q^i, p^A_i)$ that depends on the field coordinates q^i and on the partial derivatives of the field v^i_A. A natural extension of this formalism is the so-called k-cosymplectic formalism, which is the generalization to field theories of the cosymplectic formalism which describes geometrically non-autonomous mechanical systems (this description can be found in [28, 29]). It is devoted to describing field theories involving the independent parameters $(t^1, \dots, t^k)$ on the Lagrangian $L(t^A, q^i, v^i_A)$ and on the Hamiltonian $H(t^A, q^i, p^A_i)$.

It is interesting to remark here that the polysymplectic formalism developed by G. Sardanashvily *et al* [13, 14, 40], based on a vector valued form on some associated fiber bundle, is a different description of classical field theories of first order than the polysymplectic formalism proposed by Günther. (See also [23] for more details on the polysymplectic formalism). In addition, we must remark that the soldering form on the linear frames bundles is a polysymplectic form, and its study and applications to field theory constitute the n-symplectic geometry developped by L. K. Norris in [34, 35, 36, 37, 32].

The so-called *time-evolution K-operator* in mechanics (also known by some authors as the *relative Hamiltonian vector field* [38]) is a tool which has mainly been developed in order to study the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms for singular mechanical systems and their equivalence. This operator was introduced in [4] and [22], and later it was defined geometrically in two different but equivalent ways [5], [16] for autonomous dynamical systems. In [16], a further different geometric construction is given, using a canonical map introduced by Tulczyjew [42]. The K-operator relates the sets of solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations and the Hamilton equations; it also relates constraints on the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian sides, and allows us to obtain a complete classification of constraints [4]; as well as Lagrangian Noether infinitesimal symmetries from a Hamiltonian generator of symmetries [38, 11, 12, 17]. It is also used for studying Lagrangian systems whose Legendre map has generic singularities [38, 39].

The second aim of this paper is to generalize the definition and properties of this operator for first-order field theories in order to describe the relationship between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian k-symplectic formalisms. In particular we extend the results in [16], showing how to obtain the solutions of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian field equations by means of this operator. The same idea has been developed in [9] but using the multisymplectic description of classical field theories.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Section 2-4 are devoted to reviewing the main features of Günther's formalism or k-symplectic formalism [21, 31] of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian field theories.

In particular, in Section 2 the field theoretic phase space is introduced as the Whitney sum $(T^1_k)^*Q = T^*Q \oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus T^*Q$ of k-copies of the cotangent bundle T^*Q of a manifold Q. This space is the canonical example of a polysymplectic manifold. A particular case of polysymplectic manifolds are the k-symplectic manifolds (see Refs. [3, 4, 5, 8, 9]) which coincide with the standard polysymplectic manifolds.

The field theoretic state space is introduced as the Whitney sum $T^1_kQ = TQ \oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus TQ$ of k-copies of the tangent bundle TQ of a manifold Q. This manifold has a canonical k-tangent structure defined by k tensor fields of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying certain algebraic properties. The k-tangent manifolds were introduced in de León *et al.* [26, 27], and they generalize the tangent manifolds (see Refs. [6, 10, 19, 20, 24, 27]).

Section 3 is devoted to giving a geometric interpretation of the second order partial differential equations. Here we show that these equations can be characterized by using the canonical k-tangent structure of T^1_kQ, which generalizes the case of Classical Mechanics.

The Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalisms are developed in Section 4. Lagrangian formalism is developed using the canonical k-tangent structure of T^1_kQ, or the Legendre transformation as in Günther [21] .

In section 5 we develop the unified formalism for field theories, which is based on the use of the Whitney sum $T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q$ of T^1_kQ and $(T^1_k)^*Q$. There are canonical presymplectic forms on it (the pull-back of the canonical symplectic form on each T^*Q) and a natural coupling function which is defined by the contraction between vectors and covectors. Then, given a Lagrangian $L \in C^\infty(T^1_kQ)$ we can state a field equation on $T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q$. This equation has solution only on a submanifold M_L, which is the graph of the Legendre map. Then we prove that if $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$ is an integrable k-vector field, solution to this equation and tangent to M_L, then the projection onto the first factor T^1_kQ of the integral sections of $\mathbf{Z}$ are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange field equations. If L is regular the converse also holds. Furthermore, we establish the relationship between $\mathbf{Z}$ and the Hamiltonian and the Lagrangian k-vector fields of the k-symplectic formalism, $\mathbf{X_H}$ and $\mathbf{X_L}$.

In Section 6 we review the definition and the main properties of the evolution operator K for autonomous mechanics. Next we define the field operators which, as a consequence of the field equations on the k-symplectic formalism, are given as a k-vector field along the Legendre transformation FL, associated to the lagrangian $L : T^1_kQ \to \mathbb{R}$, satisfying certain properties. Finally we finish with similar results for field theories to those obtained in [16] and [9].

In a forthcoming paper we shall extend the results of this paper to the k-cosymplectic formalism [28, 29].

Manifolds are real, paracompact, connected and C^∞. Maps are C^∞. Sum over crossed repeated indices is understood.

2 Geometric framework: autonomous case

2.1 The cotangent bundle of k^1-covelocities of a manifold

Let Q be a differentiable manifold of dimension n and $\tau^* : T^*Q \to Q$ its cotangent bundle. Let us denote by $(T^1_k)^*Q = T^*Q\oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus T^*Q$ the Whitney sum of k copies of T^*Q, with projection map $\tau^*_Q \colon (T^1_k)^*Q \to Q$, $\tau^*_Q(\alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) = q$, for every $(\alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) \in (T^1_k)^*Q$.

$(T^1_k)^*Q$ can be canonically identified with the vector bundle $J^1(Q, \mathbb{R}^k)_0$ of k^1-covelocities of the manifold Q, that is the vector bundle of 1-jets of maps $\sigma \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}^k$ with target at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and projection map $\tau^*_Q : J^1(Q, \mathbb{R}^k)_0 \to Q$, $\tau^*_Q(j^1_{q,0}\sigma) = q$, say ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J^1(Q,\mathbb{R}^k)_0 & \equiv & T^*Q\oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus T^*Q \\ j^1_{q,0}\sigma & \equiv & (d\sigma^1(q), \dots, d\sigma^k(q)) \end{array}$$

where $\sigma^A = \pi^A \circ \sigma : Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the A^{th} component of σ, and $\pi^A : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is the canonical projection $1 \leq A \leq k$. For this reason to $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is also called *the bundle of k^1-covelocities of the manifold Q.*

If (q^i) are local coordinates on $U \subseteq Q$, then the induced local coordinates (q^i, p_i), $1 \leq i \leq n$, on $T^*U = (\tau^*)^{-1}(U)$, are given by

$$q^i(\alpha_q) = q^i(q), \quad p_i(\alpha_q) = \alpha_q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_q \right) , \quad \alpha_q \in T^*Q \, ,$$

and the induced local coordinates (q^i, p^A_i), $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq A \leq k$, on $(T^1_k)^*U = (\tau^*_Q)^{-1}(U)$ are given by

$$q^i(\alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) = q^i(q), \qquad p^A_i(\alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) = \alpha^A_q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_q \right) \quad .$$

Let us denote by $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

Definition 2.1 *(Günther [21]) A closed non-degenerate $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 2-form*

$$\bar{\omega} = \sum_{A=1}^k \omega_A \otimes r_A$$

on a manifold M of dimension N is called a polysymplectic form*. The pair $(M, \bar{\omega})$ is a* polysymplectic manifold.

The manifold $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is endowed with a *canonical polysymplectic structure.* This canonical structure $\bar{\omega} = \sum_{A=1}^k (\omega_0)_A \otimes r_A$, on $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is defined by

$$(\omega_0)_A = (\tau^*_A)^*(\omega_0), \quad 1 \leq A \leq k\,,$$

where $\tau^*_A : (T^1_k)^*Q \to T^*Q$ is the projection on the A^{th}-copy T^*Q of $(T^1_k)^*Q$, and $\omega_0 = -d\theta_0$ is the canonical symplectic structure of T^*Q, θ_0 being the Liouville 1-form defined by

$$\theta_0(\alpha_q)(\widetilde{X}_{\alpha_q}) = \alpha_q((\tau^*)_*(\alpha_q)(\widetilde{X}_{\alpha_q})), \quad \alpha_q \in T^*Q,\ \widetilde{X}_{\alpha_q} \in T_{\alpha_q}(T^*Q).$$

One can also define the 2-forms $(\omega_0)_A$ by $(\omega_0)_A = -d(\theta_0)_A$ where $(\theta_0)_A = (\tau^*_A)^*\theta_0$.

Thus the Liouville 1-form and the canonical symplectic structure on T^*Q are locally given by

$$\theta_0 = p_i\, dq^i, \qquad \omega_0 = -d\theta_0 = dq^i \wedge dp_i\,,$$

and the canonical polysymplectic structure $((\omega_0)_1, \ldots, (\omega_0)_k)$ on $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is locally given by

$$(\omega_0)_A = -d(\theta_0)_A = -d(p^A_i\, dq^i) = dq^i \wedge dp^A_i\,. \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.2 *(Günther [21]) A polysymplectic form $\bar{\omega}$ on a manifold M is called* standard *iff for every point of M there exists a local coordinate system such that ω_A is written locally as in (1).*

So the canonical polysymplectic form $\bar{\omega}$ on $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is standard.

Remark 2.1 The k-symplectic manifolds were introduced in Awane [1, 2, 3] and they coincide with the *standard polysymplectic* manifolds, as we now shall show.

Definition 2.3 *(Awane [1]) A k-symplectic structure on a manifold M of dimension $N = n + kn$ is a family $(\omega_A, V; 1 \leq A \leq k)$, where each ω_A is a closed 2-form and V is an integrable nk-dimensional distribution on M such that*

$$(i) \quad \omega_{A_{|V \times V}} = 0, \qquad (ii) \quad \cap_{A=1}^k \ker \omega_A = \{0\}.$$

In this case (M, ω_A, V) is called a k-symplectic manifold.

Theorem 2.1 *(Awane [1]) Let $(\omega_A, V; 1 \leq A \leq k)$ be a k-symplectic structure on M. About every point of M we can find a local coordinate system (q^i, p^A_i), $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq A \leq k$, such that*

$$\omega_A = dq^i \wedge dp^A_i, \quad 1 \leq A \leq k \quad . \tag{2}$$

The canonical model of k-symplectic manifolds is also $(T^1_k)^*Q$ and the canonical k-symplectic structure $(\omega_A, V; 1\leq A\leq k)$, on $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is given by

$$\omega_A = (\omega_0)_A = (\tau^*_A)^*(\omega_0), \qquad V(j^1_{q,0}\sigma) = \ker(\tau^*_Q)_*(j^1_{q,0}\sigma) \quad .$$

Therefore, the 2-forms of the canonical polysymplectic structure and the canonical k-symplectic structure on $(T^1_k)^*Q$ coincide.

From (2) we know that the standard polysymplectic structures and the k-symplectic structures coincide. Indeed, if $\bar{\omega} = \sum_{A=1}^k \omega_A\otimes r_A$ is a standard polysymplectic structure on M, given a local adapted coordinate system (q^i, p^A_i) we can define, locally, the distribution V, of dimension nk, by $dq^1 = \ldots = dq^n = 0$. Then , $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_k, V)$ is a k-symplectic structure on M.

Conversely if $(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_k, V)$ is a k-symplectic structure on M then $\bar{\omega} = \sum_{A=1}^k \omega_A\otimes r_A$ is a standard polysymplectic structure on M, because it is trivially standard and is non degenerate as a consequence of (ii) in Definition 2.3.

As we shall see later, in his Hamiltonian formalism, Günther uses a standard polysymplectic manifold because he needs to have local coordinates (q^i, p^A_i) in the manifold M where the Hamiltonian is defined, which is equivalent to considering a k-symplectic manifold. For this reason we will call the Günther's formalism, called polysymplectic formalism, k-symplectic formalism.

2.2 The tangent bundle of k^1-velocities of a manifold

Let $\tau : TQ\to Q$ be the tangent bundle of Q. Let us denote by T^1_kQ the Whitney sum $TQ\oplus \overset{k}{\ldots} \oplus TQ$ of k copies of TQ, with projection $\tau_Q\colon T^1_kQ\to Q$, $\tau_Q(v_{1q},\ldots,v_{kq}) = q$.

T^1_kQ can be identified with the vector bundle $J^1_0(\mathbb{R}^k, Q)$ of the k^1-velocities of the manifold Q, that is, the vector bundle of 1-jets of maps $\sigma\colon \mathbb{R}^k\to Q$ with source at $0\in\mathbb{R}^k$, and projection map $\tau_Q : T^1_kQ\to Q$, $\tau_Q(j^1_{0,q}\sigma) = \sigma(0) = q$, say

$$\begin{array}{rcl} J^1_0(\mathbb{R}^k, Q) & \equiv & TQ\oplus \overset{k}{\ldots} \oplus TQ \\ j^1_{0,q}\sigma & \equiv & (v_{1q},\ldots,v_{kq}) \end{array}$$

where $q = \sigma(0)$, and $v_{Aq} = \sigma_*(0)[(\partial/\partial t^A)(0)]$, $1\leq A\leq k$. For this reason T^1_kQ is called the *tangent bundle of k^1-velocities of Q.*

If (q^i) are local coordinates on $U\subseteq Q$ then the induced local coordinates (q^i, v^i), $1\leq i\leq n$, on $TU = \tau^{-1}(U)$ are given by

$$q^i(v_q) = q^i(q), \qquad v^i(v_q) = v_q(q^i), \qquad v_q\in TQ\,,$$

and the induced local coordinates (q^i, v^i_A), $1\leq i\leq n$, $1\leq A\leq k$, on $T^1_kU = \tau_Q^{-1}(U)$ are given by

$$q^i(v_{1q},\ldots,v_{kq}) = q^i(q), \qquad v^i_A(v_{1q},\ldots,v_{kq}) = v_{Aq}(q^i)\,.$$

We now introduce the *canonical k-tangent structure* on T^1_kQ.

Definition 2.4 *For a vector X_q at Q, and for $1 \leq A \leq k$, we define its vertical A-lift* $(X_q)^A$ *as the vector on T^1_kQ given by*

$$(X_q)^A(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}) = \frac{d}{ds}(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{A-1q}, v_{Aq} + sX_q, v_{A+1q}, \dots, v_{kq})_{|s=0}$$

for all points $(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}) \in T^1_kQ$.

In local coordinates we have

$$(X_q)^A = a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_A}\Big|_q \tag{3}$$

for a vector $X_q = a^i\,(\partial/\partial q^i)(q)$.

The *canonical k-tangent structure* on T^1_kQ is the set $(S^1, \dots, S^k)$ of tensor fields of type $(1,1)$ defined by

$$S^A(v)(Z_v) = ((\tau_Q)_*(v)(Z_v))^A, \quad \text{for all } Z_v \in T_v(T^1_kQ),\ v = (v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}),$$

for each $1 \leq A \leq k$.

From (3) we have in local coordinates

$$S^A = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_A} \otimes dq^i \tag{4}$$

The tensors S^A can be regarded as the $(0, \dots, 0, \overset{A}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$-lift of the identity tensor on Q to T^1_kQ defined by Morimoto [30].

Remark 2.2 The k-tangent manifolds were introduced as a generalization of the tangent manifolds by de León *et al.* [26, 27]. The canonical model of these manifolds is T^1_kQ with the structure given by $(S^1, \dots, S^k)$.

To develop later the Lagrangian formalism, we now construct a polysymplectic structure on T^1_kQ, for each regular Lagrangian $L : T^1_kQ \to \mathbb{R}$, , using its canonical k–tangent structure.

Definition 2.5 *A Lagrangian $L : T^1_kQ \to \mathbb{R}$ is called regular if and only if*

$$det\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_A \partial v^j_B}\right) \neq 0, \qquad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad 1 \leq A, B \leq k\,.$$

Let us consider the 1–forms $(\theta_L)_A = dL \circ S^A$, $1 \leq A \leq k$. In a local coordinate system (q^i, v^i_A) we have

$$(\theta_L)_A = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A} dq^i,\ 1 \leq A \leq k. \tag{5}$$

Introducing the following 2–forms $(\omega_L)_A = -d(\theta_L)_A$, $1 \leq A \leq k$, one can easily prove the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1 *$L : T^1_kQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a regular Lagrangian if and only if $((\omega_L)_1, \dots, (\omega_L)_k)$ is a polysymplectic structure on T^1_kQ.*

This polysymplectic structure, associated to L, was also introduced by Günther [21] using the Legendre transformation.

The Legendre map $FL : T^1_kQ \longrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q$, was introduced by Günther [21], and we rewrite it as follows: if $(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}) \in (T^1_k)_qQ$

$$[FL(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq})]^A(w_q) = \frac{d}{ds}L(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{Aq} + sw_q, \dots, v_{kq})_{|s=0},$$

for each $1 \leq A \leq k$. We deduce that FL is locally given by

$$(q^i, v^i_A) \longrightarrow \left(q^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\right). \tag{6}$$

In fact, from (5) and (6), we easily obtain the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *For every $1 \leq A \leq k$, $(\omega_L)_A = (FL)^*(\omega_0)_A$, where $(\omega_0)_1, \dots, (\omega_0)_k$ are the 2-forms of the canonical polysymplectic structure or canonical k-symplectic structure of $(T^1_k)^*Q$.*

Then, from (6) we get:

Proposition 2.2 *Let L be a Lagrangian. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1) L is regular. 2) FL is a local diffeomorphism. 3) $((\omega_L)_1, \dots, (\omega_L)_k)$ is a polysymplectic structure on T^1_kQ.

Remark 2.3 If FL is a global diffeomorphism, then L is called a *hyper-regular Lagrangian*.

3 k-vector fields. Second order partial differential equations on T^1_kQ

3.1 k-vector fields

Let M be an arbitrary manifold and $\tau_M : T^1_kM \longrightarrow M$ its tangent bundle of k^1-velocities.

Definition 3.1 *A section $\mathbf{X} : M \longrightarrow T^1_kM$ of the projection τ_M will be called a k-*vector field *on M.*

Since T^1_kM is the Whitney sum $TM\oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus TM$ of k copies of TM, we deduce that a k-vector field $\mathbf{X}$ defines a family of k vector fields $\{X_1,\dots,X_k\}$ on M by projecting $\mathbf{X}$ onto every factor. For this reason we will denote a k-vector field $\mathbf{X}$ by $(X_1,\dots,X_k)$.

Definition 3.2 *An* integral section *of the k-vector field $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\dots,X_k)$ passing through a point $x\in M$ is a map $\phi : U_0\subset \mathbb{R}^k\to M$, defined on some neighborhood U_0 of $0\in\mathbb{R}^k$, such that*

$$\phi(0)=x \quad , \quad \phi_*(t)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\right)=X_A(\phi(t)) \quad \textit{for every} \quad t\in U_0, \quad 1\leq A\leq k,$$

or equivalently, ϕ satisfies $\mathbf{X}\circ\phi=\phi^{(1)}$, where $\phi^{(1)}$ is the first prolongation of ϕ defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \phi^{(1)}: & U_0\subset\mathbb{R}^k & \longrightarrow & T^1_kM \\ & t & \longrightarrow & \phi^{(1)}(t)=j^1_0\phi_t \quad , \quad \phi_t(\bar{t})=\phi(\bar{t}+t) \end{array},$$

for every $\bar{t},t\in\mathbb{R}^k$ such that $\bar{t}+t\in U_0$.

In local coordinates:

$$\phi^{(1)}(t^1,\dots,t^k)=\left(\phi^i(t^1,\dots,t^k),\frac{\partial\phi^i}{\partial t^A}(t^1,\dots,t^k)\right), \qquad 1\leq A\leq k\,,\,1\leq i\leq n\,. \tag{7}$$

We say that a k-vector field $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\dots,X_k)$ on M is integrable if there is an integral section passing through each point of M.

We remark that a k-vector field $\mathbf{X}$ is integrable if, and only if, $\{X_1,\dots,X_k\}$ define an involutive distribution on M.

3.2 Second-order partial differential equations in T^1_kQ

The aim of this subsection is to characterize the integrable k-vector fields on T^1_kQ such that their integral sections are canonical prolongations of maps from $\mathbb{R}^k$ to Q.

In general, if $F: M\to N$ is a differentiable map, then the induced map $T^1_k(F): T^1_kM\to T^1_kN$ defined by $T^1_k(F)(j^1_0g)=j^1_0(F\circ g)$ is given by

$$T^1_k(F)(v_{1_q},\dots,v_{k_q})=(F_*(q)v_{1_q},\dots,F_*(q)v_{k_q}) \quad ,$$

where $v_{1_q},\dots,v_{k_q}\in T_qQ$, $q\in Q$, and $F_*(q): T_qM\to T_{F(q)}N$.

Definition 3.3 *A k-vector field on T^1_kQ, that is, a section $\mathbf{X}\colon T^1_kQ\to T^1_k(T^1_kQ)$ of the projection $\tau_{T^1_kQ}: T^1_k(T^1_kQ)\to T^1_kQ$, is a* second order partial differential equation (SOPDE) *if it is also a section of the vector bundle $T^1_k(\tau_Q): T^1_k(T^1_kQ)\to T^1_kQ$; that is,*

$$T^1_k(\tau_Q)\circ\mathbf{X}=Id_{T^1_kQ} \tag{8}$$

where $T^1_k(\tau)$ is defined by $T^1_k(\tau_Q)(j^1_0\gamma)=j^1_0(\tau_Q\circ\gamma)$.

Let (q^i) be a coordinate system on Q and (q^i, v^i_A) the induced coordinate system on T^1_kQ. From a direct computation in local coordinates we obtain that the local expression of a SOPDE $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is

$$X_A(q^i, v^i_A) = v^i_A \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (X_A)^i_B \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B}, \quad 1 \leq A \leq k \quad . \tag{9}$$

If $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \to T^1_kQ$, is an integral section of $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ locally given by $\varphi(t) = (\varphi^i(t), \varphi^i_B(t))$ then $X_A(\varphi(t)) = \varphi_*(t)[\partial/\partial t^A(t)]$ and thus

$$\frac{\partial \varphi^i}{\partial t^A}(t) = v^i_A(\varphi(t)) = \varphi^i_A(t)\,, \qquad \frac{\partial \varphi^i_B}{\partial t^A}(t) = (X_A)^i_B(\varphi(t))\,.$$

From (7) we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.1 *Let* $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ *be an integrable* SOPDE*. If* φ *is an integral section then* $\varphi = \phi^{(1)}$ *where* $\phi^{(1)}$ *is the first prolongation of the map* $\phi = \tau \circ \varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\varphi} T^1_kQ \xrightarrow{\tau} Q$*, and satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^A \partial t^B}(t) = (X_A)^i_B(\phi^{(1)}(t))\,. \tag{10}$$

Conversely, if $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$ *is any map satisfying (10) then* $\phi^{(1)}$ *is an integral section of* $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$.

Definition 3.4 *Let* $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ *be an integrable* SOPDE*. A map* $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$ *is said to be a* solution to the SOPDE *if the first prolongation* $\phi^{(1)}$ *is an integral section of* $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$*.*

A k*-vector field which is an integrable* SOPDE *is called a* holonomic k-vector field*, and its integral sections* $\varphi = \phi^{(1)}$ *are called* holonomic sections*.*

Now we show how to characterize the SOPDE's using the canonical k-tangent structure of T^1_kQ.

Definition 3.5 *The* Liouville vector field C *on* T^1_kQ *is the infinitesimal generator of the following flow*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} \times T^1_kQ & \longrightarrow & T^1_kQ \\ (s, (v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq})) & \longrightarrow & (e^s\, v_{1q}, \dots, e^s\, v_{kq})\,, \end{array}$$

and in local coordinates has the form

$$C = \sum_{i,B} v^i_B \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B}. \tag{11}$$

We can write $C = C_1 + \ldots + C_k$ where C_A, $1 \leq A \leq k$, are the canonical vector fields on T^1_kQ given by the following flows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} \times T^1_kQ & \longrightarrow & T^1_kQ \\ (s, (v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq})) & \longrightarrow & (v_{1q}, \dots, v_{A-1\,q}, e^s\, v_{Aq}, v_{A+1\,q}, \dots, v_{kq})\,. \end{array}$$

In local coordinates

$$C_A = \sum_i v^i_A \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_A}. \tag{12}$$

From (4), (9), (11) and (12) we deduce the following:

Proposition 3.2 *A k-vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ on T^1_kQ is a* SOPDE *if, and only if, $S^A(X_A) = C_A$, for all $1 \leq A \leq k$, where $(S^1, \dots, S^k)$ is the canonical k-tangent structure on T^1_kQ.*

4 Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalism [21, 31]

4.1 Hamiltonian formalism

Let (M, ω_A, V) be a k–symplectic manifold, and $H : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a Hamiltonian function. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ be a k-vector field on M that satisfies the equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \imath_{X_A}\omega_A \;=\; dH\,. \tag{13}$$

If X_A is locally given by

$$X_A = (X_A)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (X_A)^i_B \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B}\,,$$

in a local system of canonical coordinates (q^i, p^A_i), (whose existence is ensured by the Theorem 2.1) then (13) is equivalent to the equations

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} = -\sum_{A=1}^{k} (X_A)^A_i \quad , \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_i} = (X_A)^i \quad .$$

So if $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is also integrable then its integral sections $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \to M$, with $\varphi(t) = (\varphi^i(t), \varphi^i_A(t))$ are solutions to the *Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl field equations*

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} = -\sum_{A=1}^{k} \frac{\partial \varphi^A_i}{\partial t^A} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_i} = \frac{\partial \varphi^i}{\partial t^A}, \quad 1 \leq A \leq k,\, 1 \leq \; i \leq n\,. \tag{14}$$

So, equation (13) is a geometric version of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl field equations.

4.2 Lagrangian formalism

In this subsection, we recall the Lagrangian formalism developed by Günther [21].

In general, given a Lagrangian function of the form $L = L(q^i, v^i_A)$, and using a variational principle, one obtains the *Euler-Lagrange field equations* for L:

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k} \frac{d}{dt^A}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = 0, \qquad v^i_A = \frac{\partial q^i}{\partial t^A}. \tag{15}$$

Then, let $L : T^1_kQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lagrangian, and let us consider the 2-forms $((\omega_L)_1, \dots, (\omega_L)_k)$ on T^1_kQ defined by L, and $E_L = C(L) - L$, C being the Liouville vector field in T^1_kQ. Now, let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ be a k-vector field in T^1_kQ (that is, a section $\mathbf{X}\colon T^1_kQ \longrightarrow T^1_k(T^1_kQ)$) of the projection $\tau_{T^1_kQ}\colon T^1_k(T^1_kQ) \to T^1_kQ$. Then:

Proposition 4.1 *If* $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ *is an integrable* SOPDE*, and* $\psi \equiv \phi^{(1)}\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to T^1_kQ$ *is an integral section of* $\mathbf{X}$*, then* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a solution to the equation*

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k} \imath_{X_A}(\omega_L)_A = dE_L \qquad , \tag{16}$$

if, and only if, $\phi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$ *is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations (15).*

Proof: If each X_A is locally given by

$$X_A = (X_A)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (X_A)^i_B \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B}$$

then, from (5), (11) and (16) we deduce that $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is a solution to (16) if, and only if, $(X_A)^i$ and $(X_A)^i_B$ satisfy the system of equations

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A}\right)(X_A)^j - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_A \partial v^j_B}(X_A)^j_B = v^j_A \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}, \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j_B \partial v^i_A}(X_A)^i = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j_B \partial v^i_A} v^i_A \quad . \tag{18}$$

But, as $\mathbf{X}$ is a SOPDE, we have

$$(X_A)^i = v^i_A, \tag{19}$$

then (18) holds identically, and (17) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A} v^j_A + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_A \partial v^j_B}(X_A)^j_B = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \tag{20}$$

Now, if $\psi(t) = \phi^{(1)} = (\phi^i(t), \phi^i_A(t))$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{X}$, then

$$(X_A)^i(\psi(t)) = \phi^i_A(t) = \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^A} \quad , \tag{21}$$

$$(X_A)^i_B(\psi(t)) = \frac{\partial \phi^i_B}{\partial t^A} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^A \partial t^B} \quad , \tag{22}$$

and going to (20) we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A}(\phi(t))\frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^A} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_B \partial v^j_A}(\phi(t))\frac{\partial \phi^i_B}{\partial t^A} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A}(\phi(t))\frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^A} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_B \partial v^j_A}(\phi(t))\frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^A \partial t^B} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\phi(t)) \tag{23}$$

which are the Euler-Lagrange equations for the map ϕ.

Conversely, let $\mathbf{X}$ be an integrable SOPDE having $\psi(t) = \phi^{(1)} = (\phi^i(t), \phi^i_A(t))$ as integral sections, for every $(\phi^i(t))$ solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations. Therefore (21) and (22) hold since $\mathbf{X}$ is a SOPDE, and then (23), which holds because $(\phi^i(t))$ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations, is equivalent to (20). Hence $\mathbf{X}$ is a solution to (16).

■

In this way, equation (16) can be considered as a geometric version of the Euler-Lagrange field equations.

Observe that, if the Lagrangian is regular, equation (18) leads to conclude that every solution to (16) is a SOPDE. In addition, equation (20) leads to defining local solutions to (16) in a neighborhood of each point of T^1_kQ and, using a partition of unity, global solutions to (16).

Now let us suppose that the Lagrangian $L : T^1_kQ \to \mathbb{R}$ is hyper-regular, that is, FL is a diffeomorphism. We consider the Hamiltonian $H : (T^1_k)^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H = E_L \circ FL^{-1}$ where FL^{-1} is the inverse map of FL. Then:

Theorem 4.1 *a) If $\mathbf{X}_L = ((X_L)_1, \dots, (X_L)_k)$ is a solution to (16) then $\mathbf{X}_H = ((X_H)_1, \dots, (X_H)_k)$, where $(X_H)_A = FL_*((X_L)_A)$, $1 \leq A \leq k$, is a solution to (13) with $\omega_A = (\omega_0)_A$ and $H = E_L \circ FL^{-1}$.*

b) If $\mathbf{X}_L = ((X_L)_1, \dots, (X_L)_k)$ is integrable, $\phi^{(1)}$ is an integral section and $\phi = \tau \circ \phi^{(1)}$, then $\varphi = FL \circ \phi^{(1)}$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{X}_H = ((X_H)_1, \dots, (X_H)_k)$ and thus it is a solution to the Hamilton-De Donder Weyl equations (14) for $H = E_L \circ FL^{-1}$.

Proof: a) It is an immediate consequence of (13) and (16) using that $FL^*(\omega_0)_A = (\omega_L)_A$ and $E_L = H \circ FL^{-1}$.

b) It is an immediate consequence of Definition 3.2 of integral section of a k-vector field.

■

Definition 4.1 *A singular Lagrangian system $(T^1_kQ, (\omega_L)_1, \dots, (\omega_L)_k)$ is called almost-regular if $\mathcal{P} := FL(T^1_kQ)$ is a closed submanifold of $(T^1_k)^*Q$ (we will denote the natural imbedding by $\jmath_0 : \mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q$, FL is a submersion onto its image, and the fibres $FL^{-1}(FL(v))$, for every $v \in T^1_kQ$, are connected submanifolds of T^1_kQ.*

In this case there exists $H_0 \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{P})$ such that $(FL_0)^* H_0 = E_L$, where $FL_0 \colon T^1_k Q \to \mathcal{P}$ is defined by $\jmath_0 \circ FL_0 = FL$, and the Hamiltonian field equation analogous to (13) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \imath_{(X_0)_A} \omega^0_A = dH_0 \tag{24}$$

where $\omega^0_A = \jmath_0^*(\omega_0)_A$, for every $1 \le A \le k$, and $\mathbf{X}_0 = ((X_0)_1, \dots, (X_0)_k)$ (if it exists) is a k-vector field on $\mathcal{P}$.

5 Skinner-Rusk formulation

5.1 The Skinner-Rusk formalism for k-symplectic field theories

Let us consider the *Whitney sum* $T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q$, with coordinates (q^i, v^i_A, p^A_i). It has natural bundle structures over $T^1_k Q$ and $(T^1_k)^* Q$. Let us denote by $pr_1 : T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q \to T^1_k Q$ the projection into the first factor, $pr_1(q^i, v^i_A, p^A_i) = (q^i, v^i_A)$, and $pr_2 : T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q \to (T^1_k)^* Q$ the projection into the second factor, $pr_2(q^i, v^i_A, p^A_i) = (q^i, p^A_i)$.

In this bundle, we have some canonical structures. First, let $((\omega_0)_1, \dots, (\omega_0)_k)$ be the canonical polysymplectic structure on $(T^1_k)^* Q$. We shall denote by $(\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k)$ the pull-back by pr_2 of these 2-forms to $T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q$, that is, $\Omega_A = (pr_2)^*(\omega_0)_A$, $1 \le A \le k$.

Furthermore, the *coupling function* in $T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}$, is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \mathcal{C} \; : & T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & (v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}, \alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) & \mapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{A=1}^{k} \alpha^A_q (v_{Aq}) \end{array}$$

Given a Lagrangian $L \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(T^1_k Q)$, we can define the *Hamiltonian function* in $T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q$, denoted by $\mathcal{H} \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q)$, as

$$\mathcal{H}(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}, \alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) = \mathcal{C}(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}, \alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q) - (pr_1^* L)(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}, \alpha^1_q, \dots, \alpha^k_q)$$

which, in local coordinates, is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{A=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p^A_i \, v^i_A - L(q^i, v^i_A) \quad . \tag{25}$$

Now, the problem consists in finding the integral sections $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^k \to T^1_k Q \oplus (T^1_k)^* Q$ of an integrable k-vector field $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$ on $T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q$, such that

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k} \imath_{Z_A} \Omega_A = d\mathcal{H} \quad . \tag{26}$$

Equation (26) gives a different kind of information. In fact, writing locally each Z_A as

$$Z_A = (Z_A)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)^i_B \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B} + (Z_A)^B_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i} \, ,$$

then, from (1), (25) and (26) we obtain

$$p_i^A = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_A^i} \circ pr_1 \tag{27}$$

$$(Z_A)^i = v_A^i \tag{28}$$

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k} (Z_A)_i^A = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ pr_1 \tag{29}$$

where $1 \leq A \leq k\,,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then from (28) we have that Z_A is locally given by

$$Z_A = v_A^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)_B^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_B^i} + (Z_A)_i^B \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^B} \quad . \tag{30}$$

So, in particular, we have obtained information of three different classes:

1. The constraint equations (27), which are algebraic (not differential) equations defining a submanifold M_L of $T_k^1 Q \oplus_Q (T_k^1)^* Q$ where the equation (26) has solution. Let us observe that this submanifold is just the graph of the Legendre map FL defined by the Lagrangian L.

   We denote by $\jmath\colon M_L \to T_k^1 Q \oplus_Q (T_k^1)^* Q$ the natural imbedding, and by $pr_1^0\colon M_L \to T_k^1 Q$ and $pr_2^0\colon M_L \to (T_k^1)^* Q$ the restricted projections of pr_1 and pr_2.

2. Equations (28) which are a holonomy condition similar to (19) and, as we will see in the next subsection (see Theorem 5.1), they force the integral sections of the k-vector field $\mathbf{Z}$ to be lifting of sections $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$. This property is similar to the one in the unified formalism of Classical Mechanics, and it reflects the fact that the geometric condition in the unified formalism is stronger than the usual one in the Lagrangian formalism.

3. Equations (29) which, taking into account (27) and (28), are just the classical Euler-Lagrange equations (see Theorem 5.1).

If $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ is a solution to (26), then each Z_A is tangent to the submanifold M_L if, and only if, the functions $Z_A \left(p_j^B - \dfrac{\partial L}{\partial v_B^j} \circ pr_1 \right)$ vanish at the points of M_L, for every $1 \leq A, B \leq k\,,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then from (30) we deduce that this is equivalent to the following equations

$$(Z_A)_j^B = v_A^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_B^j} + (Z_A)_C^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_C^i \partial v_B^j} \quad . \tag{31}$$

Thus the problem to be solved is the following:

Statement 5.1 *To find an integral section $\psi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to M_L \subset T_k^1 Q \oplus (T_k^1)^* Q$ of an integrable k-vector field $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ on $T_k^1 Q \oplus_Q (T_k^1)^* Q$ solution to (26) taking values on M_L. (This means that $\mathbf{Z}$ is tangent to M_L).*

Remark 5.1

1. Equations (26) have not, in general, a unique solution. The solutions to (26) are given by $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k) + \ker \Omega^\sharp$, where $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ is a particular solution, and $\Omega^\sharp \colon T^1_k(T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q) \to T^*(T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q)$ is defined as $\Omega^\sharp(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k) = \displaystyle\sum_{A=1}^{k} \imath_{Y_A}\Omega_A$.
2. If L is regular, then taking into account (28) and (29) we can define a local k-vector field $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ on a neighborhood of each point in M_L which is a solution to (26). Each Z_A is locally given by
$$(Z_A)^i = v^i_A \quad , \quad (Z_A)^B_i = \frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}\delta^B_A \, ,$$
with $(Z_A)^i_B$ satisfying (31). Now, by using a partition of the unity, one can construct a global k-vector field which is a solution to (26).

When the Lagrangian function L is singular we cannot assure the existence of consistent solutions for equation (26). Then we must develop a constraint algorithm for obtaining a constraint submanifold (if it exists) where these solutions exist. Next, we outline this procedure (see also [25], where a similar algorithm is sketched in the multisymplectic formulation).

First, in order to assure the existence of a Hamiltonian counterpart for the singular Lagrangian system we assume, from now on, that the singular Lagrangians are almost-regular.

We begin with $P_0 = M_L$. Then, let P_1 be the subset of P_0 made of those points where there exists a solution to (26), that is,

$$P_1 = \{z \in P_0 \,|\, \exists (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k) \in (T^1_k)_z P_0 \text{ solution to (26)}\}$$

If P_1 is a submanifold of P_0, then there exists a section of the canonical projection $\tau_{P_0} : T^1_k P_0 \to P_0$ defined on P_1 which is a solution to (26), but that does not define, in general, a k-vector field on P_1. To find solutions taking values into $T^1_k P_1$, we define a new subset P_2 of P_1 as follows

$$P_2 = \{z \in P_1 \,|\, \exists (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k) \in (T^1_k)_z P_1 \text{ solution to (26)}\}$$

If P_2 is a submanifold of P_1, then there exists a section of the canonical projection $\tau_{P_1} : T^1_k P_1 \to P_1$ defined on P_2 which is a solution to (26), but that does not define, in general, a k-vector field on P_2.

Procceding further, we get a family of constraint manifolds

$$\ldots \hookrightarrow P_2 \hookrightarrow P_1 \hookrightarrow P_0 = M_L \hookrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q \oplus T^1_kQ$$

If there exists a natural number f such that $P_{f+1} = P_f$ and $dim\, P_f > k$ then we call P_f the *final constraint submanifold* over which we can find solutions to equation (26). Let us observe that the solutions will not be unique (even in the regular case) and, in general, will not be integrable. In order to find integrable solutions to equation (26), a constraint algorithm based on the same idea must be developed.

5.2 The field equations for sections

M_L being the graph of FL, it is diffeomorphic to T^1_kQ (so pr^0_1 is a diffeomophism). Let $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ be an integrable k-vector field solution to (26). Every integral section $\psi\colon t \in \mathbb{R}^k \to (\psi^i(t), \psi^i_A(t), \psi^A_i(t)) \in T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q$ of $\mathbf{Z}$ solution to (26) is of the form $\psi = (\psi_L, \psi_H)$, with $\psi_L = pr_1 \circ \psi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to T^1_kQ$, and if ψ takes values in M_L then $\psi_H = FL \circ \psi_L$; in fact, from (27) we obtain

$$\psi_H(t) = (pr_2 \circ \psi)(t) = (\psi^i(t), \psi^A_i(t)) = \left(\psi^i(t), \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\Big|_{\psi_L(t)}\right) = (FL \circ \psi_L)(t)\,.$$

In this way, every constraint, differential equation, etc. in the unified formalism can be translated to the Lagrangian or the Hamiltonian formalisms by restriction to the first or the second factors of the product bundle. In particular, conditions (27) generate, by pr_2-projection, the primary constraints of the Hamiltonian formalism for singular Lagrangians (i.e., the image of the Legendre transformation, $FL(T^1_kQ) \subset (T^1_k)^*Q$, and they can be called *primary Hamiltonian constraints.*

In this way the main result in this subsection is the following:

Theorem 5.1 *Let* $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ *be an integrable* k*-vector field in* $T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q$ *solution to (26) and let* $\psi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to M_L \subset T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q$ *be an integral section of* $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$*, with* $\psi = (\psi_L, \psi_H) = (\psi_L, FL \circ \psi_L)$*. Then* ψ_L *is the canonical lift* $\phi^{(1)}$ *of the projected section* $\phi = \tau_Q \circ pr_1 \circ \psi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$*, and* ϕ *is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange field equations (15).*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & T^1_kQ \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^*Q \arrow[dl, "pr_1"'] \arrow[dr, "pr_2"] & & \\
T^1_kQ \arrow[rrr, "FL"'] \arrow[dr, "\tau_Q"] & M_L \arrow[l, "pr^0_1"'] \arrow[r, "pr^0_2"] \arrow[u, "\jmath"] \arrow[d] & (T^1_k)^*Q \arrow[dl, "\tau^*_Q"] & (T^1_k)^*Q \\
 & Q & & \\
 & \mathbb{R}^k \arrow[u, "\phi"] \arrow[uu] \arrow[uuu, bend right, "\psi"'] \arrow[uul, "\psi_L = \phi^{(1)}"] \arrow[uurr, "\psi_H = FL \circ \phi^{(1)}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: If $\psi(t) = (\psi^i(t), \psi^i_A(t), \psi^A_i(t))$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$, then

$$Z_A(\psi(t)) = \frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi(t)} + \frac{\partial \psi^B_i}{\partial t^A}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i}\Big|_{\psi(t)} + \frac{\partial \psi^i_B}{\partial t^A}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B}\Big|_{\psi(t)} \tag{32}$$

From (27), (28) and (32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^i_A(t) &= v^i_A(\psi(t)) = (Z_A)^i(\psi(t)) = \frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t) && (33)\\ \psi^A_i(t) &= p^A_i(\psi(t)) = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\circ pr_1\right)(\psi(t)) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\Big|_{\psi_L(t)} && (34)\\ \frac{\partial\psi^B_i}{\partial t^A}(t) &= (Z_A)^B_i(\psi(t)), && (35)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (29), (34) and (35) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\psi_L(t)) = \sum_{A=1}^{k}(Z_A)^A_i(\psi(t)) = \sum_{A=1}^{k}\frac{\partial\psi^A_i}{\partial t^A}(t) = \sum_{A=1}^{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\Big|_{\psi_L(t)}\right)$$

and from (33)

$$\psi^i_A(t) = \frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t)\,.$$

The last two equations are the Euler-Lagrange field equations for the section $\phi(t) = (\psi^i(t)) = (\tau\circ pr_1\circ\psi)(t)$, and $\psi_L = \phi^{(1)}$.

■

In addition, for the regular case we can prove:

Proposition 5.1 *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1, if L is regular then $\psi_H = FL\circ\psi_L$ is a solution to the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl field equations (14), where the Hamiltonian H is locally given by $H\circ FL = E_L$.*

Proof: Since L is regular, FL is a local diffeomorphism and thus we can choose for each point in T^1_kQ an open neighborhood $U\subset T^1_kQ$ such that $FL_{|U}: U\to FL(U)$ is a diffeomorphism. So we can define $H_U: FL(U)\to\mathbb{R}$ as $H_U = (E_L)_{|U}\circ(FL_{|U})^{-1}$.

Denoting by $H\equiv H_U$, $E_L\equiv(E_L)_{|U}$ and $FL\equiv FL_{|U}$, we have $E_L = H\circ FL$ which we provides the identities

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_i}\circ FL = v^i_A \quad , \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ FL = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \quad . \qquad (36)$$

Now considering the open subset $V = \psi_L^{-1}(U)\subset\mathbb{R}^k$ we have $\psi_{|V}: V\subset\mathbb{R}^k\to U\oplus FL(U)\subset M_L$, where $(\psi_L)_{|V}: V\subset\mathbb{R}^k\to U\subset T^1_kQ$ and $(\psi_H)_{|V} = FL\circ(\psi_L)_{|V}: V\subset\mathbb{R}^k\to FL(U)\subset(T^1_k)^*Q$.

Therefore from (29), (33), (35) and (36), for every $t\in V\subset\mathbb{R}^k$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_i}\Big|_{\psi_H(t)} = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_i}\circ FL\right)(\psi_L(t)) = v^i_A(\psi_L(t)) = \frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi_H(t)} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ FL\right)(\psi_L(t)) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi_L(t)} = -\sum_{A=1}^{k}(Z_A)_i^A(\psi(t)) = -\sum_{A=1}^{k}\frac{\partial \psi_i^A}{\partial t^A}(t)$$

from which we deduce that $(\psi_H)_{|V}$ is a solution to the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl field equations (14).

■

Conversely, we can state:

Proposition 5.2 *If L is regular and $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is a solution to (16) then:*

1. *The k-vector field $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$ given by $Z_A = (Id_{T_k^1 Q} \oplus FL)_*(X_A)$, $1 \leq A \leq k$ is a solution to (26).*
2. *If $\psi_L : \mathbb{R}^k \to T_k^1 Q$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ (and thus, from Proposition 4.1, a solution to the Euler-Lagrange field equations) then $\psi = (\psi_L, FL \circ \psi_L) : \mathbb{R}^k \to M_L \subset T_k^1 Q \oplus_Q (T_k^1)^* Q$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$.*

Proof:

1. If L is regular and $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is a solution to (16), then from Proposition 4.1 we know that X_A is a SOPDE and thus X_A is locally given by

$$X_A = v_A^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (X_A)_B^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_B^i} \tag{37}$$

where $(X_A)_B^i$ satisfy (20). Since the map $Id_{T_k^1 Q} \oplus FL : T_k^1 Q \to M_L \subset T_k^1 Q \oplus (T_k^1)^* Q$, is locally given by

$$(q^i, v_A^i) \to \left(q^i, v_A^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_A^i}\right), \tag{38}$$

from (37) and (38) we obtain

$$Z_A = (Id_{T_k^1 Q} \oplus FL)_*(X_A) = v_A^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \left(v_A^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_C^j} + (X_A)_B^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_B^i \partial v_C^j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j^C} + (X_A)_B^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_B^i} \tag{39}$$

Then from (20) and (39) we have that

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k}(Z_A)_j^A = v_A^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_A^j} + (X_A)_B^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_B^i \partial v_A^j} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j}, \quad (Z_A)^i = v_A^i, \quad Z_A\left(p_k^B - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_B^k}\right) = 0,$$

that is, the k-vector field $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$ is a solution to (26) and each Z_A is tangent to M_L for $A : 1, \dots, k$.

2. It follows from Definition 3.2 taking into account that $pr_2 \circ \psi \;=\; FL \circ \psi_L$.

$\blacksquare$

Remark 5.2 The last result really holds for regular and almost-regular Lagrangians. In the almost-regular case, the proof is the same, but the sections ψ, ψ_L and ψ_H take values not on M_L, T^1_kQ and $(T^1_k)^*Q$, but in the final constraint submanifold P_f and on the projection submanifolds $pr_1(P_f) \hookrightarrow T^1_kQ$ and $pr_2(P_f) \hookrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q$, respectively.

5.3 The field equations for k-vector fields

The aim of this subsection is to establish the relationship between k-vector fields that are solutions to (16) and k-vector fields that are solutions to (26). The main result is the following:

Theorem 5.2 *Let* $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ *be a* k*-vector field on* M_L *solution to (26). Then the* k*-vector field* $\mathbf{X}_L = ((X_L)_1, \ldots, (X_L)_k)$ *on* T^1_kQ *defined by*

$$\mathbf{X}_L \circ pr_1^0 = T^1_k(pr_1^0) \circ \mathbf{Z} \tag{40}$$

is a k*-vector field solution to (16) (where* $T^1_k(pr_1^0)\colon T^1_k(M_L) \to T^1_k(T^1_kQ)$ *is the natural extension of* $(pr_1^0)_*$*).*

Conversely, every k*-vector field* $\mathbf{X}_L$ *solution to (16) can be recovered in this way from a* k*-vector field* $\mathbf{Z}$ *in* M_L *solution to (26).*

Moreover, the k*-vector field* $\mathbf{Z}$ *is integrable iff the* k*-vector field* $\mathbf{X}_L$ *is holonomic.*

Proof: Since $pr_1^0 : M_L \to T^1_kQ$ is a diffeomorphism, then the k-vector field $\mathbf{X}_L$ on T^1_kQ defined by (40) is given by

$$(X_L)_A \;=\; \left((pr_1^0)^{-1}\right)^* \, Z_A\,, \quad 1 \leq A \leq k\,. \tag{41}$$

Now, for every $1 \leq A \leq k$ we have that

$$\jmath^*\Omega_A = (pr_1^0)^*(\omega_L)_A \quad , \tag{42}$$

which follows from Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \jmath^*\Omega_A \;&=\; \jmath^*(pr_2)^*(\omega_0)_A \;=\; (pr_2^0)^*(\omega_0)_A \;=\; (FL \circ pr_1^0)^*(\omega_0)_A \\ &=\; (pr_1^0)^* FL^*(\omega_0)_A \;=\; (pr_1^0)^*(\omega_L)_A\,. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we obtain that

$$\jmath^*\mathcal{H} = (pr_1^0)^* E_L \quad , \tag{43}$$

from the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} \jmath^*\mathcal{H} &= \jmath^*(\mathcal{C} - (pr_1)^*L) \, = \, \jmath^*\mathcal{C} \, - \, \jmath^*(pr_1)^*L \\ &= (pr_1^0)^*CL \, - \, (pr_1^0)^*L \, = \, (pr_1^0)^*E_L \, . \end{aligned}$$

From (41) and (42) we deduce that

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k} \imath_{Z_A}\jmath^*\Omega_A \, = \, \sum_{A=1}^{k} \imath_{(pr_1^0)^*(X_L)_A}(pr_1^0)^*(\omega_L)_A \, = \, (pr_1^0)^* \left(\sum_{A=1}^{k} \imath_{(X_L)_A}(\omega_L)_A \right) \, , \tag{44}$$

and from (43) we deduce that

$$d\,(\jmath^*\mathcal{H}) \, = \, d\left((pr_1^0)^*E_L\right) \, = \, (pr_1^0)^* dE_L \, . \tag{45}$$

Since pr_1^0 is a diffeomorphism, from (44) and (45) we deduce that the k-vector field $\mathbf{Z}$ is a solution to (26) iff the k-vector field $\mathbf{X}_L$ is a solution to (16).

Let us suppose now that the k-vector field $\mathbf{Z}$ is integrable. As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, for every integral section $\psi = (\psi_L, FL \circ \psi_L)$ of $\mathbf{Z}$, $\psi_L = \phi^{(1)}$, for $\phi = \tau \circ pr_1 \circ \psi$. Then

$$(X_L)_A(pr_1^0(\psi(t))) = (pr_1^0)_*(\psi(t))(Z_A(\psi(t))) = (pr_1^0 \circ \psi)_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_q \right) = (\psi_L)_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_q \right)$$

So, $\psi_L = \phi^{(1)}$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{X}_L$, and hence $\mathbf{X}_L$ is holonomic.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{X}_L$ is holonomic then for every integral section $\psi_L = \phi^{(1)}$ with $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$, the map $\psi = (\psi_L, FL \circ \psi_L)$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{Z}$. In fact, from (41), for every $1 \leq A \leq k$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_A(\psi(t)) &= \left((pr_1^0)^*(X_L)_A\right)(\psi(t)) \, = \, \left((pr_1^0)^{-1}\right)_*(\psi_L(t))\left((X_L)_A(\psi_L(t))\right) \\ &= \left((pr_1^0)^{-1}\right)_*(\psi_L(t)) \left((\psi_L)_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}(t) \right) \right) \, = \, \left((pr_1^0)^{-1} \circ \psi_L\right)_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_q \right) \\ &= \psi_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_q \right) \, . \end{aligned}$$

■

If L is regular, in a neighborhood of each point of T_k^1Q there exists a local solution $\mathbf{X}_L = ((X_L)_1, \ldots, (X_L)_k)$ to (16). As L is regular, FL is a local diffeomorphism, so this open neighborhood can be chosen in such a way that FL is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Thus in a neighborhood of each point of $FL(T_k^1Q)$ we can define

$$(X_H)_A = [(FL)^{-1}]^*(X_L)_A \, , \quad 1 \leq A \leq k \, .$$

or equivalently, in terms of k-vector fields

$$T_k^1(FL) \circ X_L \, = \, X_H \quad .$$

Proposition 5.3 *1. The local k-vector field $\mathbf{X}_H = ((X_H)_1, \ldots, (X_H)_k)$ is a solution to (13), where the Hamiltonian H is locally given by $H \circ FL = E_L$. (In other words, the local k-vector fields $\mathbf{X}_L$ and $\mathbf{X}_H$ solution to (16) and (13), respectively, are FL-related).*

2. Every local integrable k-vector field solution to (13) can be recovered in this way from a local integrable k-vector field $\mathbf{Z}$ in $T^1_k Q \oplus_Q (T^1_k)^* Q$ solution to (26).

Proof:

1. This is the local version of Theorem 4.1 a).
2. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{X}_H$ is a local integrable k-vector field solution to (13), then we can obtain the FL-related local integrable k-vector field $\mathbf{X}_L$ solution to (16). By Theorem 5.2, we recover $\mathbf{X}_L$ by a local integrable k-vector field $\mathbf{Z}$ solution to (26).

■

6 Field operators

6.1 The evolution operator $\mathcal{K}$ in mechanics

The so-called *time-evolution $\mathcal{K}$-operator* in mechanics (also known by some authors as the *relative Hamiltonian vector field* [38]) is a tool which has mainly been developed in order to study the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms for singular mechanical systems and their equivalence. It was first introduced in a non-intrinsic way in [4] as an "evolution operator" to connect both formalisms.

In Classical Mechanics, the evolution operator $\mathcal{K}$ associated with a Lagrangian $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a map $\mathcal{K} : TQ \to T(T^*Q)$ satisfying the following conditions (see [16]):

1. (Structural condition): K is a vector field along FL, that is, $\tau_{T^*Q} \circ \mathcal{K} = FL$, where FL is the Legendre map defined by L and $\tau_{T^*Q} : T(T^*Q) \to T^*Q$ is the natural projection.
2. (Dynamical condition): $(FL)^*(\imath_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega \circ FL)) = dE_L$, where ω is the canonical symplectic form on T^*Q and $E_L = CL - L$, being C the Liouville vector field on TQ.
3. (Second-order condition): $T(\tau^*) \circ \mathcal{K} = Id_{TQ}$, where $\tau^* : T^*Q \to Q$ is the canonical projection.

The existence and uniqueness of this operator is studied in [16]. Its local expression is

$$\mathcal{K} = v^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \circ FL \right) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \circ FL \right) .$$

By definition $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to TQ$ is an integral curve of $\mathcal{K}$ if

$$T(FL) \circ \overset{\bullet}{\varphi} = \mathcal{K} \circ \varphi \,, \tag{46}$$

where $\overset{\bullet}{\varphi}\colon \mathbb{R} \to T(TQ)$ is the prolongation of φ to the tangent bundle $T(TQ)$ of TQ. So we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & T(TQ) \arrow[r, "T(FL)"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{TQ}"] & T(T^*Q) \arrow[d, "\tau_{T^*Q}"] \\
\mathbb{R} \arrow[ur, "\overset{\bullet}{\varphi}"] \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & TQ \arrow[r, "FL"] \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{K}"] & T^*Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, $\varphi = \overset{\bullet}{\phi}$, for $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Q$, that is, φ is holonomic.

The most relevant properties of this operator are the following:

- If there exists an Euler-Lagrange vector field X_L on TQ, that is, a solution to the equation $\imath_{X_L}\omega_L = dE_L$, then $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to TQ$ is an integral curve of X_L if, and only if, it is an integral curve of $\mathcal{K}$; that is, relation (46) holds.

  As a direct consequence of this fact, the relation between $\mathcal{K}$ and X_L is

  $$T(FL) \circ X_L = \mathcal{K}\,. \tag{47}$$

  In general, if the dynamical system is singular, the Euler-Lagrange vector fields exist only on a submanifold $S \hookrightarrow TQ$.

- If there exists a Hamilton-Dirac vector field X_H on T^*Q associated with the the Lagrangian system (TQ, ω_L, E_L) (that is, a vector field solution to the Hamilton-Dirac equations in the Hamiltonian formalism), then $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to T^*Q$ is an integral curve of X_H if, and only if,

  $$\overset{\bullet}{\psi} = K \circ T(\tau_Q^*) \circ \overset{\bullet}{\psi}\ . \tag{48}$$

  As a consequence, the relation between $\mathcal{K}$ y X_H is

  $$X_H \circ FL = \mathcal{K}\,. \tag{49}$$

- If $\xi \in C^\infty(T^*Q)$ is a Hamiltonian constraint, then $\imath_K(d\xi \circ FL)$ is a Lagrangian constraint.

Relations (46), (47),(48) and (49) show how the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions can be unified by means of the operator $\mathcal{K}$.

Some relevant results obtained using this operator are:

- The equivalence between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms is proved by means of this operator in the following way: there is a bijection between the sets of solutions of Euler-Lagrange equations and Hamilton equations, even though the dimensions of the final constraint submanifold in both formalisms are not the same, in general [4], [15].

- The complete classification of constraints is achieved. All the Lagrangian constraints can be obtained from the Hamiltonian ones using the $\mathcal{K}$-operator [4].
- Noether's theorem is proved and the relation between the generators of gauge and "rigid" symmetries in the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms is studied [11], [12], [17], [18].
- This operator has been applied to studying Lagrangian systems whose Legendre map has *generic singularities*; that is, it degenerates on a hypersurface [38], [39].

6.2 Field operators $\mathcal{K}$ in field theories

Next we generalize the definition, properties and some of the applications of the evolution operator for the k-symplectic formulation of field theories, in order to describe the relationship between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms (the generalization for the multisymplectic formulation is given [9]). In particular, we will study how to obtain the solutions of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian field equations by means of this operator, and the relation between them.

Definition 6.1 *A* field operator $\mathcal{K}$ *associated with a Lagrangian* $L : T^1_kQ \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a map*

$$\mathcal{K} : T^1_kQ \to T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1. *Structural condition :* $\mathcal{K}$ *is a* k*-vector field along* FL*, that is*

$$\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q} \circ \mathcal{K} = FL\,. \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q) \arrow[d, "\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q}"] \\
T^1_kQ \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{K}"] \arrow[r, "FL"] & (T^1_k)^*Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_k)$*, where each* $\mathcal{K}_A$*,* $1 \leq A \leq k$*, is a vector field along* FL*.*

2. *Field equation condition:*

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k}(FL)^*[\imath_{\mathcal{K}_A}(\omega_0)_A \circ FL)] = dE_L\,. \tag{51}$$

3. Second-order condition:

$$T^1_k(\tau^*_Q)\circ\mathcal{K} = Id_{T^1_kQ}\,. \tag{52}$$

Now we are going to calculate the local expression of a field operator $\mathcal{K}$. If $v=(v_{1q},\dots,v_{kq})\in T^1_kQ$ then from (50) we have that

$$\mathcal{K}_A(v) = (\mathcal{K}_A)^i(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{FL(v)} + (\mathcal{K}_A)^B_i(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i}\Big|_{FL(v)}\,,\quad 1\leq A\leq k\,.$$

Taking into account (52) and that the map $T^1_k(\tau^*_Q): T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q)\to T^1_kQ$ is locally given by $T^1_k(\tau^*_Q)(q^i,p^A_i,(u_A)^i,(u_A)^B_i) = (q^i,(u_A)^i)$, we obtain that

$$(\mathcal{K}_A)^i = v^i_A\,. \tag{53}$$

Then, writing in local coordinates the expression (51)

$$\sum_{A=1}^k (\omega_0)_A(FL(v))\left(\mathcal{K}_A(v),(FL)_*(v)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_v\right)\right) = dE_L\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_v\right)\,,$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{A=1}^k\left(v^k_A\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i\partial v^k_A}(v) - (\mathcal{K}_A)^A_j(v)\right) = \sum_{A=1}^k v^k_A\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i\partial v^k_A}(v) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(v)\,.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{A=1}^k(\mathcal{K}_A)^A_j = (\mathcal{K}_1)^1_i + (\mathcal{K}_2)^2_i + \ldots + (\mathcal{K}_k)^k_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}\,, \tag{54}$$

which means that every field operator $\mathcal{K}$ is locally given by

$$\mathcal{K}_A = v^i_A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\circ FL\right) + (\mathcal{K}_A)^B_i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i}\circ FL\right)\,,\quad 1\leq A\leq k.$$

where the components $(\mathcal{K}_A)^B_i$ satisfy the identity (54).

Equations (53) and (54) lead us to define local solutions in a neighborhood of each point of T^1_kQ satisfying conditions 1, 2 and 3 in definition 6.1,

$$\mathcal{K}_A = v^i_A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\circ FL\right) + \frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^A_i}\circ FL\right)\,,\quad 1\leq A\leq k\,,$$

and, by using a partition of the unity, we obtain global solutions.

Definition 6.2 *$\psi:\mathbb{R}^k\to T^1_kQ$ is an integral section of the field operator $\mathcal{K}$ if*

$$T^1_k(FL)\circ\psi^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}\circ\psi\,.$$

Definition 6.2 means that, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\mathcal{K}_A(\psi(t)) = (FL)_*(\psi(t)) \left(\psi_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t \right) \right) , \quad 1 \leq A \leq k \, ,$$

because

$$(T^1_k(FL) \circ \psi^{(1)})(t) = T^1_k(FL)(j^1_0 \psi_t) = j^1_0 (FL \circ \psi_t) \, ,$$

where $\psi_t(\bar{t}) = \psi(t + \bar{t})$. Thus, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & T^1_k(T^1_kQ) \arrow[r, "T^1_k(FL)"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{T^1_kQ}"] & T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q) \arrow[d, "\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q}"] \\
\mathbb{R}^k \arrow[ur, "\psi^{(1)}"] \arrow[r, "\psi"] & T^1_kQ \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{K}"] \arrow[r, "FL"] & (T^1_k)^*Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

6.3 Properties of the field operators related to the Lagrangian formalism

In this section we study the properties of the field operator in relation to the Lagrangian field equations. In particular, we generalize the properties of the evolution operator in mechanics given in equation (47).

Proposition 6.1 *Let $L : T^1_kQ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lagrangian. $\psi : \mathbb{R}^k \to T^1_kQ$ is an integral section of $\mathcal{K}$ if, and only if, $\tau_Q \circ \psi : \mathbb{R}^k \overset{\psi}{\to} T^1_kQ \overset{\tau_Q}{\to} Q$ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations (15).*

Proof: If $\psi : \mathbb{R}^k \to T^1_kQ$ is locally given by $\psi(t) = (\psi^i(t), \psi^i_A(t))$, then from (6) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (FL \circ \psi)_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t \right) &= \frac{\partial \psi^j}{\partial t^A}(t) \, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{FL(\psi(t))} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_C}(\psi(t)) \, \frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t) + \frac{\partial \psi^i_B}{\partial t^A}(t) \, \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_B \partial v^j_C}(\psi(t)) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^C_j}\Big|_{FL(\psi(t))} . \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

On the other hand

$$\mathcal{K}_A(\psi(t)) = v^j_A(\psi(t)) \, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{FL(\psi(t))} + (\mathcal{K}_A)^C_j(\psi(t)) \, \frac{\partial}{\partial p^C_j}\Big|_{FL(\psi(t))} . \tag{56}$$

So if ψ is a solution to $\mathcal{K}$, then from (55) and (56) we obtain the equations

$$\frac{\partial \psi^j}{\partial t^A}(t) = v^j_A(\psi(t)) = \psi^j_A(t) \, , \tag{57}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^j_C}(\psi(t))\right)=\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i\partial v^j_C}(\psi(t))\,\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t)+\frac{\partial^2\psi^i}{\partial t^A\partial t^B}(t)\,\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i_B\partial v^j_C}(\psi(t))=(\mathcal{K}_A)^C_j(\psi(t))\,, \tag{58}$$

for every $A=1,\ldots,k$. Therefore, from (54) (57) and (58) we obtain

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}(\psi(t))\right)=\sum_{A=1}^{k}(\mathcal{K}_A)^A_j(\psi(t))=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\psi(t))\,,\quad \psi^i_A(t)=\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t)\,,$$

that is $(\tau_Q\circ\psi)(t)=(\psi^i(t))$ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations (15).

The proof of the converse follows the same pattern than in the proof of the converse statement of proposition 4.1.

■

Theorem 6.1 *Let $L: T^1_kQ\to\mathbb{R}$ be a Lagrangian and let $\mathcal{K}$ be a k vector field along the Legendre map $FL: T^1_kQ\to(T^1_k)^*Q$. If $\mathbf{X}_L: T^1_kQ\to T^1_k(T^1_kQ)$ is a k-vector field on T^1_kQ and $j_S: S\hookrightarrow T^1_kQ$ is a submanifold of T^1_kQ such that*

$$T^1_k(FL)\circ\mathbf{X}_L\underset{S}{=}\mathcal{K} \tag{59}$$

then $\mathcal{K}$ is a field operator associated with the Lagrangian L if, and only if, $\mathbf{X}_L$ is a SOPDE *solution to the equation (16).*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^1_k(T^1_kQ) \arrow[r, "T^1_k(FL)"] & T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q) \arrow[d, "\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q}"] \\
T^1_kQ \arrow[u, "\mathbf{X}_L"] \arrow[ru, "\mathcal{K}"] \arrow[r, "FL"'] & (T^1_k)^*Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: We must prove that both the second-order condition, and the field equation condition hold for $\mathcal{K}$ if, and only if, they hold for $\mathbf{X}_L$. In this proof all the equalities hold on S.

First, if $\mathcal{K}=(\mathcal{K}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{K}_k)$ and $\mathbf{X}_L=((X_L)_1,\ldots,(X_L)_k)$, then equation (59) is equivalent to

$$T(FL)\circ(X_L)_A\underset{S}{=}\mathcal{K}_A\,,\quad 1\le A\le k\,.$$

On the other hand $(\omega_L)_A=(FL)^*(\omega_0)_A$ so one easily proves that

$$\imath_{(X_L)_A}(\omega_L)_A\underset{S}{=}(FL)^*(\imath_{\mathcal{K}_A}(\omega_0)_A\circ FL)\,,$$

and for the field equation we obtain

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k}[(FL)^*(\imath_{\mathcal{K}_A}(\omega_A\circ FL)]-dE_L\underset{S}{=}\sum_{A=1}^{k}[\imath_{X_A}(\omega_L)_A]-dE_L$$

hence the field equation condition holds for $\mathcal{K}$ if, and only if, the Lagrangian field equation holds for $\mathbf{X}_L$.

Furthermore, in relation to the second-order condition (see Definition 3.3) we have that

$$T^1_k(\tau^*_Q)\circ\mathcal{K} = Id_{T^1_kQ} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T^1_k(\tau^*_Q)\circ T^1_k(FL)\circ\mathbf{X}_L = Id_{T^1_kQ} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T^1_k(\tau_Q)\circ\mathbf{X}_L = Id_{T^1_kQ}$$

because FL is a fiber preserving map, that is $\tau^*_Q\circ FL=\tau_Q$, and hence $T^1_k(\tau^*_Q)\circ T^1_k(FL)=T^1_k(\tau_Q)$. Thus the last equality is equivalent to (8), and so the second order conditions for $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbf{X}_L$ are related.

■

Finally, as an immediate consequence of propositions 4.1 and 6.1, and theorem 6.1, we have:

Corollary 6.1 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1, $\psi\colon\mathbb{R}^k\to S\subset T^1_kQ$ is an integral section of the field operator $\mathcal{K}$ if, and only if, it is an integral section of the* SOPDE $\mathbf{X}_L$. *(This means that $\mathcal{K}$ is integrable if, and only if, $\mathbf{X}_L$ is integrable).*

Moreover, every integral section $\psi\colon\mathbb{R}^k\to S\subset T^1_kQ$ is an holonomic section.

6.4 Properties of the field operators related to the Hamiltonian formalism

Next we analyze the properties of the field operator in relation to the Hamilton-de Donder-Weyl field equations, generalizing the properties of the evolution operator in mechanics given in Eqs. (48) and (49).

Theorem 6.2 *Let L be an almost-regular Lagrangian function, and $\mathcal{K}$ a field operator associated with L. If there exist a k-vector field $\mathbf{X}_0\colon\mathcal{P}\to T^1_k\mathcal{P}$, and a submanifold $\jmath_S\colon S\hookrightarrow T^1_kQ$, such that*

$$T^1_k\jmath_0\circ\mathbf{X}_0\circ FL_0 \underset{S}{=} \mathcal{K} \quad , \tag{60}$$

then $\mathbf{X}_0$ is a solution to the equation (24) on $P=FL_0(S)$.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{X}_0$ is a k-vector field solution to the equation (24), then the above relation defines a k-vector field $\mathcal{K}$ along FL, which satisfy conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 6.1, on S, but not condition 3 (second-order condition) necessarily.

If L is a hyper-regular Lagrangian function, then the same results hold (with $S=T^1_kQ$). But in addition, in the converse statements the k-vector field $\mathcal{K}$ along FL also satisfies the second-order condition 3 of Definition 6.1, and hence it is a field operator for L.

Proof: Equation (60) means that

$$(\jmath_0)_*(FL_0(s))\,((X_0)_A(FL_0(s))) = \mathcal{K}_A(s)\,, \quad s \in S\,, \quad 1 \leq A \leq k\,. \tag{61}$$

Then, since $\jmath_0 \circ FL_0 = FL$ and $(\jmath_0)^*(\omega_0)_A = \omega^0_A$ we deduce,from (61) that

$$(FL)^*(\imath_{\mathcal{K}_A}((\omega_0)_A \circ FL)) \underset{S}{=} (FL_0)^*(\imath_{(X_0)_A}\omega^0_A)$$

and since $(FL_0)^*H_0 = E_L$ we obtain

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k}(FL)^*(\imath_{\mathcal{K}_A}((\omega_0)_A \circ FL)) - dE_L \underset{S}{=} (FL_0)^*\left(\sum_{A=1}^{k}(\imath_{(X_0)_A}\omega^0_A) - dH_0\right)$$

where all the equalities hold on S. But, as FL_0 is a submersion, we obtain that

$$\sum_{A=1}^{k}(FL)^*(\imath_{\mathcal{K}_A}((\omega_0)_A \circ FL)) - dE_L \underset{S}{=} 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{A=1}^{k}(\imath_{(X_0)_A}\omega^0_A) - dH_0 \underset{P}{=} 0$$

hence the field equation condition holds for $\mathcal{K}$ on S if, and only if, the Hamiltonian field equation holds for X_0 on $P = FL_0(S)$.

For hyper-regular systems, the proof of these properties is the same, but taking into acount that now $\mathcal{P} = (T^1_k)^*Q$, and $FL_0 = FL$. In addition, the k-vector field $\mathbf{X}_0 \equiv \mathbf{X}$ is defined everywhere in $(T^1_k)^*Q$. Thus, the only addendum is to prove that, if $\mathbf{X}$ is a solution to the equation (24), then its associated k-vector field along FL, $\mathcal{K}$, satisfies the second-order condition. As $\mathbf{X}$ is a k-vector field in $(T^1_k)^*Q$, by definition it is a section of $\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q}$, thus $\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q} \circ \mathbf{X} = Id_{(T^1_k)^*Q}$. Then, taking into account that FL is a diffeomorphism, and that (60) reduces to $\mathbf{X} \circ FL = \mathcal{K}$, we have that

$$T^1_k(\tau^*_Q) \circ \mathcal{K} = T^1_k(\tau^*_Q) \circ \mathbf{X} \circ FL = FL^{-1} \circ \tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q} \circ \mathbf{X} \circ FL = Id_{T^1_kQ}$$

which is the second-order condition for $\mathcal{K}$.

■

Then assuming all these relations, we have:

Theorem 6.3 *$\mathcal{K}$ is integrable if, and only if, $\mathbf{X}_0$ is integrable. In particular:*

1. *Let $FL_S \colon S \to P$ be the restriction of FL_0 to S (that is, $\jmath_P \circ FL_S = FL_0 \circ \jmath_S$). If $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\psi_S} S \overset{\jmath_S}{\hookrightarrow} T^1_kQ$ is an integral section of $\mathcal{K}$ on S, then $\psi_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\psi_P} P \overset{\jmath_P}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{P}$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{X}_0$ on P, where $\psi_P := FL_S \circ \psi_S$.*
2. *Conversely, if $\psi_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\psi_P} P \overset{\jmath_P}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{P}$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{X_0}$ on P, then the section $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\psi_S} S \overset{\jmath_S}{\hookrightarrow} T^1_kQ$ is an integral section of $\mathcal{K}$ on S, for every $\psi_S \colon \mathbb{R}^k \to S \subseteq T^1_kQ$ such that $\psi_P = FL_S \circ \psi_S$.*

   The section ψ_S, and hence $\psi := \jmath_S \circ \varphi_S$, are holonomic if, and only if, $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the second-order condition (and hence it is a field operator).

Proof: If the system is almost-regular, consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^1_k\mathbb{R}^k \arrow[rr, "T^1_k(FL_0\circ\psi)"] \arrow[rrr, bend left, "T^1_k(FL\circ\psi)"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{\mathbb{R}^k}"] & & T^1_k\mathcal{P} \arrow[r, "T^1_k\jmath_0"] & T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q) \arrow[d, "\tau_{(T^1_k)^*Q}"] \\
\mathbb{R}^k \arrow[r, "\psi"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\psi_0"] \arrow[dr, "\psi_S"'] \arrow[drr, "\psi_P"] & T^1_kQ \arrow[r, "FL_0"'] \arrow[urr, "\mathcal{K}"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "FL"'] & \mathcal{P} \arrow[u, "\mathbf{X}_0"] \arrow[r, "\jmath_0"] & (T^1_k)^*Q \\
 & S \arrow[u, "\jmath_S"] \arrow[r, "FL_S"] & P \arrow[u, "\jmath_P"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{62}$$

(where $\mathbf{X}_0$ denotes any extension of the k-vector field solution on P to $\mathcal{P}$).

1. If ψ is an integral section of $\mathcal{K}$ then

   $$K_A(\psi(t)) = (FL\circ\psi)_*(t)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\right) \, , \quad 1\leq A\leq k \, , \tag{63}$$

   but $FL\circ\psi = j_0\circ\psi_0$ because

   $$FL\circ\psi = FL\circ j_S\circ\psi_S = j_0\circ j_P\circ FL_S\circ\psi_S = j_0\circ j_P\circ\psi = j_0\circ\psi_0 \, ,$$

   therefore (63) is equivalent to

   $$\mathcal{K}_A(\psi(t)) = (j_0)_*(\psi_0(t))\left((\psi_0)_*(t)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\right)\right) \, , \quad 1\leq A\leq k \, . \tag{64}$$

   Furthermore, from (61) and taking into account that $FL_0\circ\psi=\psi_0$, we have that

   $$\mathcal{K}_A(\psi(t)) = (j_0)_*(FL_0(\psi(t)))(X_0)_A(FL_0(\psi(t))) \;=\; (j_0)_*(\psi_0(t))\left((X_0)_A(\psi_0(t))\right) \, , \tag{65}$$

   then, from (64) and (65), taking into account that $\jmath_0$ is an imbedding, we deduce

   $$(\psi_0)_*(t)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\right) = (X_0)_A(\psi_0(t)) \, , \quad 1\leq A\leq k \, .$$

   Hence, ψ_0 is integral section of $\mathbf{X}_0$.

2. The converse is proved by reversing the above reasoning. In addition, the sections ψ_S and $\psi := \jmath_S\circ\psi_S$ are holonomic if, and only if, they are integral sections of a second-order k-vector field along the Legendre map.

If the system is hyper-regular the proof is analogous, but taking $\mathcal{P}=(T^1_k)^*Q$ and $FL_0=FL$.

■

It is important to point out that, if the integrability condition holds only in a submanifold $\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow S$, then Theorem 6.3 only holds on $\mathcal{I}$ and $FL(\mathcal{I})$ (which is assumed to be a submanifold of P).

Observe also that Theorem 6.3, together with Theorem 6.1, establish the equivalence between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the financial support of *Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología*, BFM2002-03493 and the Research Project PGIDT01PXI20704PR of Xunta de Galicia. We thank Mr. Jeff Palmer for his assistance in preparing the English version of the manuscript.

References

[1] A. Awane: "k-symplectic structures", *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992) 4046-4052.

[2] A. Awane: "G-spaces k-symplectic homogènes", *J. Geom. Phys.* **13** (1994) 139-157.

[3] A. Awane, M. Goze: *Pfaffian systems, k-symplectic systems.* Kluwer Academic Publishers , Dordrecht (2000).

[4] C. Batlle, J. Gomis, J.M. Pons, N. Román-Roy: "Equivalence between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism for constrained systems", *J. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986) 2953-2962.

[5] J.F. Cariñena, C. López: "The time evolution operator for higher-order singular Lagrangians", *J. Mod. Phys.* **7** (1992) 2447-2468.

[6] R. S. Clark, D.S. Goel: "On the geometry of an almost tangent structure", *Tensor (N. S.)* **24** (1972) 243-252.

[7] J. Cortés, S. Martínez, F. Cantrijn: "Skinner-Rusk approach to time-dependent mechanics", *Phys. Lett. A* **300** (2002) 250-258.

[8] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, C. López, J. Marín-Solano, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy: "Lagrangian-Hamiltonian unified formalism for field theory". *J. Math. Phys.* **45**(1) (2004) 360-380.

[9] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, J. Marín-Solano, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy: "On the construction of $\mathcal{K}$-operators in field theory as sections along Legendre maps". *Acta Appl. Math.* **77** (2003) 1-40.

[10] H.A. Eliopoulos: "Structures presque tangents sur les variétés différentiables", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér . I Math.* **255** (1962) 1563-1565.

[11] C. Ferrario, A. Passerini: "Symmetries and constants of motion for constrained Lagrangian systems: a presymplectic version of the Noether theorem", *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** (1990) 5061-5081.

[12] J.A. García, J.M. Pons: "Rigid and gauge Noether symmetries for constrained systems", *Int. J. Modern Phys. A* **15**(29) (2000) 4681-4721.

[13] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, G. Sardanashvily: *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Methods in Field Theory*, World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore (1997).

[14] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, G. Sardanashvily: "Covariant Hamilton equations for field theory", *J. Phys. A* **32**(32) (1999) 6629–6642.

[15] X. Gràcia, J.M. Pons: "A generalized geometric framework for constrained systems", *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **2** (1992) 223-247.

[16] X. Gràcia, J.M. Pons: "On an evolution operator connecting Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms". *Lett. Math. Phys.* **17**(3)(1989) 175–180.

[17] X. Gràcia, J.M. Pons: "A Hamiltonian approach to Lagrangian Noether transformations", *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** (1992) 6357-6369.

[18] X. Gràcia, J.M. Pons: "Singular Lagrangians: some geometric structures along the Legendre map", *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001) 3047-3070.

[19] J. Grifone: "Structure presque-tangente et connexions I", *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **22** (1972) 287-334.

[20] J. Grifone: "Structure presque-tangente et connexions II". *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **22** (1972) 291-338.

[21] C. Günther: "The polysymplectic Hamiltonian formalism in field theory and calculus of variations I: The local case". *J. Differential Geom.* **25** (1987) 23-53.

[22] K. Kamimura, "Singular Lagrangians and constrained Hamiltonian systems, generalized canonical formalism", *Nuovo Cim. B* **69** (1982) 33-54.

[23] I. V. Kanatchikov: "Canonical structure of classical field theory in the polymomentum phase space", *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41**(1) (1998) 49–90.

[24] J. Klein: "Espaces variationelles et mécanique", *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **12** (1962) 1-124.

[25] M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego: "A new geometrical setting for classical field theories", *Classical and Quantum Integrability*. Banach Center Pub. **59**, Inst. of Math., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsawa (2002) 189-209.

[26] M. de León, I. Méndez, M. Salgado: "p-almost tangent structures", *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* Serie II **XXXVII** (1988), 282-294.

[27] M. de León, I. Méndez, M. Salgado: "Integrable p–almost tangent structures and tangent bundles of p^1-velocities", *Acta Math. Hungar.* **58**(1-2) (1991) 45-54.

[28] M. de León; Eugenio Merino, José A. Oubiña, Paulo Rodrigues, Modesto Salgado: "Hamiltonian systems on k-cosymplectic manifolds", *J. Math. Phys.* **39**(2) (1998) 876–893.

[29] M. de León; Eugenio Merino, Modesto Salgado: "k-cosymplectic manifolds and Lagrangian field theories", *J. Math. Phys.* **42**(5) (2001) 2092–2104.

[30] A. Morimoto: "Liftings of some types of tensor fields and connections to tangent p^r-velocities", *Nagoya Qath. J.* **40** (1970) 13-31.

[31] F. Munteanu, A.M. Rey, M. Salgado: "The Günther's formalism in classical field theory: momentum map and reduction", *J. Math. Phys.* bf 45(5) (2004) 1730–1751.

[32] M. McLean; L. K. Norris: Covariant field theory on frame bundles of fibered manifolds. J. Math. Phys. 41 (2000), no. 10, 6808–6823.

[33] L.K. Norris: Generalized symplectic geometry on the frame bundle of a manifold, Lecture given at the AMS Summer Research Institute on Differential Geometry, 1990, at U.C.L.A.

[34] L.K. Norris: Generalized symplectic geometry on the frame bundle of a manifold, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **54**, Part 2 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1993), 435-465.

[35] L.K. Norris: Symplectic geometry on T^*M derived from n-symplectic geometry on LM. *J. Geom. Phys.* **13** (1994), 51-78.

[36] L.K. Norris: Schouten-Nijenhuis Brackets. *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997), 2694-2709.

[37] L. K. Norris: n-symplectic algebra of observables in covariant Lagrangian field theory. J. Math. Phys. 42 (2001), no. 10, 4827–4845.

[38] F. Pugliese, A.M. Vinogradov: "On the geometry of singular Lagrangians", *J. Geom. Phys.* **35** (2000) 35-55,

[39] F. Pugliese, A.M. Vinogradov: "Discontinuous trajectories of Lagrangian systems with singular hypersurface", *J. Math. Phys.* **42**(1) (2001) 309-329.

[40] G. Sardanashvily: *Generalized Hamiltonian Formalism for Field Theory. Constraint Systems*, World Scientific, Singapore (1995).

[41] R. Skinner, R. Rusk: "Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics. I. Formulation on $T^*Q \oplus TQ$", *J. Math. Phys.* **24**(11) (1983) 2589–2594.

[42] W.M. Tulczyjew: "Les sous-varietés lagrangiennes et la dinamique hamiltonienne", *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **t 283A** (1976) 15-18.